Yet Another Annoying Aside Regarding Allegations

In the past three months several individuals, on different 'social media' platforms, with one individual also via a blog, have made the same allegation about a person they seem obsessed with; with the blogger also producing a 47-page diatribe, complete with photographs and screenshots, about the object of their obsession and which diatribe contains the same allegation: that a 1974 interview with a provincial journalist is proof positive that a certain Mr Myatt was/is 'Anton Long' and did found the now notorious occult group known as the ONA/O9A.

To quote the anonymous blogger: "[the] interview makes it abundantly clear that David Myatt was not only involved with the O9A, but was, in fact, its leader – using the Anton Long identity to conceal his involvement..."

That 1974 Interview, Again

In their apparent obsession to prove one of their beliefs, the obsessives failed to notice that there are glaring 'tells' - indications of deceit - in what the journalist alleged Myatt said, one of which is that the journalist has Myatt apparently saying "all this shout about..." which vernacular expression the Prep school and privately educated Myatt would almost certainly not have used. Myatt would most probably have said something such as "all this propaganda regarding..."

For what the journalist wrote was that Myatt said,

> All this shout about the film *The Exorcist* finally decided me to bring my own position, at least, into the open. We live at the beginning of the age of Satan where people will be free from the tyranny of Christianity and where Satanists will be as masters and all others slaves. The magic we possess is the magic of the mind, the power to bend minds. The more chaos and upset we cause in the world, the more we can rise above it as Satanists and strengthen our power over the masses. We are not a religion. There is no God but man. Now the new aeon is here its leader will be the Lord 666 (The Beast).

All of which statements are cribbed from freely available late 1960s Church of Satan literature, including the books *Satanic Rituals* and *Satanic Bible* with the latter, for instance, using phrases such as "masters of the world" and "the Age of Satan" which are typical examples of what we may term "Church of Satan speak", or CoS-speak for brevity.

There is nothing redolent of the ONA at all in what the journalist alleged Myatt said, as would be expected if Myatt was, as has been claimed, "involved with the O9A" and "its leader". What is redolent of the early ONA, ONA-speak, can be found in the article *The Approach Of The Dark Gods* published in the early 1980s in Stephen Sennit's *Nox* magazine and which was included in the 2007 book *The Infernal Texts: NOX & Liber Koth* (reprinted in 2018) and which article was assigned in that book to 1974, which date may be a spurious but if correct is probably one the first public mentions of an "order of the nine angles", although it should be noted it is named there 'order of *the* nine angles' not 'order of nine angles'. The text and background to that article were given in *Omega9Alpha News*, Issue 10, dated September 2022 ev {1} with the author of that 'dark gods' article writing that

> "[t]he teaching of the Order of the Nine Angles accepts that all previous Gates had terrestrial counterparts (for example, the centre of the Hyperborean Aeon was the area around Stonehenge; that of Hellenic, Delphi) and that the opening of these Gates was the result of the natural evolution of consciousness rather than something consciously planned. That is, one may think of the Gates being opened, in the symbolic sense, by Gaia, the Earth Mother."

If the date of the article and the attribution of authorship can be proved to be authentic, then the educated style of expression by the apparent author, given as a certain Mr Myatt, is further evidence of

the contrived nature of what the journalist wrote in that newspaper item which was also written in 1974.

As described in Issue 10 of *Omega9Alpha News*, re that *Approach Of The Dark Gods* article,

> Even if Myatt wrote the article - for which there is no evidence - then the article is merely recounting, as a knowledgable person might, various Occult traditions about such 'dark gods' including the recent tradition of the O9A: "the teaching of the Order of the Nine Angles accepts..." There is no endorsement of the O9A. Thus the article proves nothing in relation to the claim of Myatt "being O9A" or in relation to him being "Anton Long". If we wanted to be pedantic we might add that Myatt probably penned that article and mentioned the O9A tradition because - as Professor Kaplan recorded in his 1998 book *Nation and Race: The Developing Euro-American Racist Subculture* - Myatt was doing "a favour for an occult-involved friend."

Other examples of early ONA-speak are in the 1980s published *Black Book of Satan* a copy of which is in the British Library {2} and which book has expressions far removed from those employed in Church of Satan literature (CoS-speak) with its mentions of 666 and with phrases, from the Satanic Rituals, such as

> Come, O Mighty Lord of Darkness, and look favorably on this sacrifice which we have prepared in thy name.....
>
> We praise and honor first Thee, Lucifer, Morning Star,
> And Beelzebub, Lord of Regeneration; then
> Belial, Prince of the Earth and Angel of Destruction;
> Leviathan, Beast of Revelation;
> Abaddon, Angel of the Bottomless Pit; and
> Asmodeus, Demon of Lust.
> We call upon the mighty names of Astaroth, Nergal and Behemoth,
> Of Belphegor, Adramelech, and Baalberith.....
>
> My body is a temple, wherein all demons dwell.
> A pantheon of flesh am I.....

Compare such stilted Church of Satan expressions with ONA-speak, from their *Black Book*, such as

> As it has been, so it is and so shall it be again
> By the Power of our Prince, Satan, and the powers of
> They who are Never named.
> From dark dimensions they will come while others sleep.....
>
> I lift my eyes to gaze upon the beauty of body -
> You who are the daughter of and a Gate to our Dark Gods:
> They who are never named.....
>
> In the beginning was sacrifice but now words to bind you through all time to us.
> In your beginnings - we were.
> In your quest - we are.
> Before you - we were.
> After you - we shall be, again.
> Before us - They who are never named.
> After us - They will be, waiting.
> And you through this Rite shall be of us and thus of them who are never named.
> For we the fair who garb ourselves in black through Them possess the rock we call this Earth.....

The difference is obvious. Startling. As Myatt was to write about that sensationalist, factually incorrect interview,

"The newspaper interview was, for me, a learning experience. I had decided to give an interview (my first) with a journalist to talk about our new NS movement, the NDFM. I briefly mentioned how it might be possible for chaos to be created by subversive means, and subversive groups, as a prelude to a revolution which an NS movement could take advantage of, an idea I had been discussing for a while with several Comrades, including some in Column 88.

The journalist promised to let me read his final copy before it was published - a condition I had specified before giving the interview - and several photographs of me were taken, with him suggesting I hold something to do with the Occult, since he had noticed I had a collection of horror, and Occult, fiction (most of which in fact were given or loaned to me by Eddy Morrison). Perhaps foolishly, I agreed, holding up some Occult thingy which Joe Short had given to me a few days before. Our conversation lasted for about half an hour, during which the journalist took a few notes (it was not recorded).

I assumed that he would simply recount what I had said. Of course he neither showed me the article before publication, nor printed what I said, except for one short sentence about causing chaos. The whole article was a fabrication, designed to be sensationalist and to discredit me. This whole episode was to be a very interesting, worthwhile, experience for me: pathei-mathos, as Aeschylus wrote." {3}

He also wrote, in his 2013 *A Matter of Honour*,

> "What surprised me (and to be honest, upset me, for a while), after this interview, was how so many people believed everything the journalist had written, without bothering to ask me for my side of the story. As if just because something was printed in some newspaper or other then 'it must be true' [...]
>
> And it was then that I learnt several valuable lessons: just how easily people can be manipulated, just how dishonest and conniving (and thus dishonourable) some journalists seemed to be, by nature; and just how powerful the established Media was, able make or break a person's reputation." {4}

That the apparent obsessives have, in regard to that 1974 interview, somehow missed the differences between CoS-speak and early ONA-speak is characteristic of their haste, of their lack of research, and indeed of their prejudice, which as someone recently wrote, {5} quoting the Oxford English Dictionary, is

> "a judgement formed before due examination or consideration; a premature or hasty judgement; a prejudgement; preconceived opinion not based on reason or actual experience; bias, partiality; unreasoned dislike, hostility; an unreasoning preference or objection; a preliminary or anticipatory judgement; a feeling, favourable or unfavourable, towards any person or thing, prior to or not based on actual experience; a prepossession; a bias or leaning to one side; an unreasoning predilection or objection."

Those Conflatables, Again

The first conflatable, made by the anonymous blogger in his 47-page diatribe, is that the 1974 Leeds based Temple of the Sun, which Myatt has admitted to having founded in 1974 solely as a neo-nazi honeytrap and briefly led for a few months, {6} is the same as or equivalent to the ONA and therefore that despite his denials Myatt was involved with the ONA.

The second conflatable, made by an anonymous person on a 'social media' platform, is:

> Myatt says in interview he is the founder of the Temple of the Sun. Long says in interview that he is the founder of the Temple of the Sun. Therefore Myatt = Founder of Temple of the Sun = Long

The anonymous person also posted that "the primary source in question is that Long stated that he was the founder of the Temple of the Sun."

However, when asked what interview, when and where published, the allegationist did not provide and to date had not provided any details.

> What interview, when? AFAIK the name Anton Long is not mentioned in any 1970s interview that Myatt gave. AFAIK that name isn't mentioned at all until the 1980s. That you keep refusing to provide publicly accessible documentary evidence seems indicative.

The respondent to the claimant helpfully posted a guide to what a primary source is in general and what a primary source is in relation to Myatt admitting to being 'Anton Long' and/ founding or being involved with the ONA.

> [2] Among primary sources are original manuscripts germane to the subject or to a historical person, such as in the case of Jesus of Nazareth, Papyrus Bodmer in the Vatican Library; archaeological or fossil remains from an historical period; contemporaneous manuscripts, letters, diaries, memoirs, personal journals, interviews, speeches, and other materials which describe or relate (i) events in which a person or persons participated in or observers of, (ii) ideas or creations, such as music, a philosophy, works of literature, poetry, and art-work which a person was responsible for and also their published writings in their original language, and authenticated manuscripts published and unpublished.
>
> The writings, opinions, and conclusions of others about such subjects or persons are secondary sources, with tertiary sources a collection or compendium of such secondary sources. In regard to the original language of primary sources, if a person venturing an opinion about such material cannot read the original language, and does not personally translate such a work or passages from such a work and thus has to use the translations of others then opinions and conclusions about that work are secondary sources.
>
> Evidential facts in the case of David Myatt include evidence from primary sources, such as documents which a forensic linguistic expert employed by the Police suggest Myatt using a pseudonym such as 'Anton Long' may have written; a confession or signed statement by Myatt made under caution to Police officers; sworn testimony by witnesses who are available for cross-examination during a criminal trial; forensically verified video/audio recordings of Myatt admitting to being Anton Long and/or of having founded and being involved with the O9A; and so on.

Furthermore, Myatt's admission of being briefly involved with the Temple of the Sun also includes the fact that in late 1974 he left Leeds, {7} with documentary evidence revealing he spent his time living alone in a caravan on a farm in the Norfolk countryside before being imprisoned until the Spring of 1976 and then a few months later moving to live in and subsequently become a Catholic monk at an English monastery.

Since there are at the present time no primary sources which mention an 'Anton Long' prior to the early 1980s; no primary sources where a Mr Myatt writes about or confesses to being 'Anton Long' or of founding or being involved with the ONA; no primary sources which mention or describe an involvement by a Mr Myatt in a 'Temple of the Sun' folowing his criminal trial at Leeds Crown Court in the Autumn of 1974 after which he left Leeds; then the claim or claims, made by the blogger and others, and since believed by others, that the 1974 newspaper article is "the or a primary source" that proves that Myatt "was involved with the Order of Nine Angles" is not evidential. In other words, it remains an unproven allegation. One apparently designed and propagated to discredit a particular person.

The choice is therefore a simple one: to believe the 'public pontifications' of the allegationists, or to believe Myatt's side of the story and thus do the honourable, the fair, thing of according him the benefit of the doubt. As someone wrote regarding our era, {8}

In many respects such public pontificating is often reminiscent of the 'witch trials' in less enlightened times when individuals were accused of witchcraft based on hearsay and statements by those motivated either by an ignoble zealotical religious belief and/or because of some personal ignoble reason. In our era, it is often adherence to some political belief, or a lack of the ability to use reason and personal research as a personal guide. Whatever the cause, there is a 'following of the crowd', or a naive believing in what some 'authority' or 'expert' or spokesperson of some government or policy group or secondary source or some medium such as a newspaper or some digital resource such 'wikipedia' or 'social media' states about a subject, a topic, or about a person.

That is, there is the same unfairness, the same lack of reasoning, the same use of rumours, as occurred during those witch trials. Will we human beings, therefore, ever en masse adopt the maxim of being fair, honourable, and thus remain silent about what we personally have no rationally acquired or direct personal knowledge of?

For, thousands of years ago, Sophocles wrote: οὐκ οἶδ᾽ ἐφ᾽ οἷς γὰρ μὴ φρονῶ σιγᾶν φιλῶ, "I do not know, [and] about things I cannot judge for myself, I prefer to be silent." (Oedipus Tyrannus, 569)

Similarly, centuries later, and in a Hadith, it was recounted that the Prophet Muhammad said: "He who believes in Allah and the Last Day should either speak honourably or be silent..." (Muslim ibn al-Hajjaj al-Naysaburi, Book 1, 47a)

حَدَّثَنِي حَرْمَلَةُ بْنُ يَحْيَى، أَنْبَأَنَا ابْنُ وَهْبٍ، قَالَ أَخْبَرَنِي يُونُسُ، عَنِ ابْنِ شِهَابٍ، عَنْ أَبِي سَلَمَةَ بْنِ عَبْدِ الرَّحْمَنِ، عَنْ أَبِي هُرَيْرَةَ، عَنْ رَسُولِ اللَّهِ صلى الله عليه وسلم قَالَ " مَنْ كَانَ يُؤْمِنُ بِاللَّهِ وَالْيَوْمِ الآخِرِ فَلْيَقُلْ خَيْرًا أَوْ لِيَصْمُتْ وَمَنْ كَانَ يُؤْمِنُ بِاللَّهِ وَالْيَوْمِ الآخِرِ فَلْيُكْرِمْ جَارَهُ وَمَنْ كَانَ يُؤْمِنُ بِاللَّهِ وَالْيَوْمِ الآخِرِ فَلْيُكْرِمْ ضَيْفَهُ " .

Egnatius Severin
March 2026

ooo ooo ooo

{1} https://archive.org/download/o9a-news-september-22/o9a-news-september-22-v1.pdf
{2} General Reference Collection Cup.815/51
{3} *Addendum I: NDFM - David Myatt and Eddy Morrison*, https://web.archive.org/web/20090901180202/http://www.geocities.com/davidmyatt/notes1.html
{4} https://davidmyatt.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/dwm-a-matter-of-honour.pdf
{5} *A Prejudice By Any Other Name*, included in *A Similitude For Our Times*, https://archive.org/download/accusations-about-myatt-second-edition/accusations-about-myatt-second-edition.pdf
{6} qv. Part Two of *Ethos of Extremism*, https://davidmyatt.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/ethos-extremism-extracts.pdf
{7} qv. the Facies Abyssi section of *Myngath*, 2013, https://davidmyatt.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/dwm-a-matter-of-honour.pdf
{8} *Some Signs Of Our Era*, included in *A Similitude For Our Times*, https://archive.org/download/accusations-about-myatt-second-edition/accusations-about-myatt-second-edition.pdf

This item is in the Public Domain